

UNITED STATE DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. FIRST NAMED INVENTOR 09/282,471 03/31/99 PARIKH Ι 121-161 **EXAMINER** HM22/0614 NIXON & VANDERHYE TRAN, S 1100 NORTH GLEBE ROAD ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 8TH FLOOR ARLINGTON VA 22201 1615 DATE MAILED: 06/14/01

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Office Action Summary

Application No. 09/282,471

Applicant(s)

Parikh

Examiner

Susan Tran

Art Unit 1615

The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address	
Period for Reply	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	
- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.	
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.	
 If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period communication. 	od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this
	tute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). iling date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any
Status	
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on Nov 8, 2000	·
2a) ☑ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action	is non-final.
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims	
	is/are pending in the application.
4a) Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.
5) Claim(s)	is/are allowed.
6) 🔀 Claim(s) <u>1-15</u>	is/are rejected.
7) Claim(s)	is/are objected to.
8)	are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.
Application Papers	
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are ob	jected to by the Examiner.
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a) \square approved b) \square disapproved.
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	·.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	
13) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign prior	ity under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).
a) \square All b) \square Some* c) \square None of:	
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.	
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.	
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	
*See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	
14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).	
Attachment(s)	_
, o, C	Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)
	Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
17) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	, Combine

Art Unit: 1615

DETAILED ACTION

Receipt is acknowledged of applicants Declaration filed 05/13/99, Information Disclosure Statement with Attachment filed 06/30/99 and 11/08/00, Amendment A filed 11/08/00.

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments filed 11/08/00 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The examiner maintains the original rejections and thus, claims 1-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Duclos et al. US 5,776,495 ('495), in view of Ecanow US 4,963,367 ('367); claims 8-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Duclos et al. ('495), in view of Ecanow ('367) and Haynes US 5,091,187.

Applicant argues that Duclos does not provide an example using phospholipid which applicant submits is not "very hydrophilic polymer". Contrary to the applicant's argument, Duclos is relied upon for the teaching within the four walls patent. Duclos cannot be limited to his best mode as describe or not describe in the examples. Furthermore, applicants' generic claims do not recite "phospholipids that is not the very hydrophilic polymers", and therefore, permits the phospholipid suggests by Duclos.

Applicant argues that Ecanow's process including coacervate-based that does not disclose by the applicant. However, applicant's claim language does not exclude that. Furthermore, Ecanow's process also obtain the product desire by applicant, that is a drug delivery compositions yeild new and unexpected degrees of stabilization (see abstract). The burden is

Art Unit: 1615

shifted to appicant to establish that Ecanow's process have a detrimental effect upon the desirability of forming a stable composition.

Haynes is relied upon solely for the teaching of the process to prepare fenofibrate particles.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Correspondence

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Susan Tran whose telephone number is (703) 306-5816. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday from 6:00 am to 4:30 pm.

Application/Control Number: 09/282,471 Page 4

Art Unit: 1615

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thurman Page, can be reached on (703) 308-2927. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 305-3592.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1235.

THURMAN K. PAGE SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600